

FAR PART 150 STUDY UPDATE

NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM -- CHECKLIST -- PART II

AIRPORT NAME: Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky International Airport

REVIEWER: _____

	Yes/No/NA	Page No.\Other Reference
I. IDENTIFICATION AND SUBMISSION OF PROGRAM:		
A. Submission is properly identified:		
1. FAR 150 NCP?	Yes	Letter of Transmittal
2. NEM and NCP together?	Yes	Letter of Transmittal
3. Program revision?	Yes	Letter of Transmittal
B. Airport and Airport Operator's name identified?	Yes	Letter of Transmittal
C. NCP transmitted by airport operator cover letter?	Yes	Letter of Transmittal
II. CONSULTATION: [150.23]		
A. Documentation includes narrative of public participation and consultation process?	Yes	Sponsor's Certificate, Appendix I, Page I-1; Appendix J, Page J-1; Appendix K, Page K-1
B. Identification of consulted parties:		
1. all parties in 150.23(c) consulted?	Yes	Appendix I, Pages I-1 to I-6
2. public and planning agencies identified?	Yes	Appendix I, Pages I-1 to I-6
3. agencies in 2., above, correspond to those indicated on the NEM?	Yes	Appendix I, Pages I-1 to I-6
C. Satisfies 150.23(d) requirements:		
1. documentation shows active and direct participation of parties in B., above?	Yes	Appendix I, Pages I-6 to I-9
2. active and direct participation of general public?	Yes	Appendix J, Pages J-1 to J-2; Appendix K, Pages K-1 to K-3
3. participation was prior to and during development of NCP and prior to submittal to FAA?	Yes	Appendix I, Pages I-1 to I-9; Appendix J, Pages J-1 to J-2; Appendix K, Pages K-1 to K-3
4. indicates adequate opportunity afforded to submit views, data, etc.?	Yes	Appendix J, Pages J-1 to J-2; Appendix K, Pages K-1 to K-3
D. Evidence included of notice and opportunity for a public hearing on NCP?	Yes	Appendix J & Appendix K

FAR PART 150 STUDY UPDATE

NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM -- CHECKLIST -- PART II

AIRPORT NAME: Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky International Airport

REVIEWER: _____

	Yes/No/NA	Page No.\Other Reference
E. Documentation of comments:		
1. includes summary of public hearing comments, if hearing was held?	Yes	Appendix K
2. includes copy of all written material submitted to operator?	Yes	Appendix K
3. includes operator's responses/disposition of written and verbal comments?	Yes	Appendix K
F. Informal agreement received from FAA on flight procedures?	Yes	Letter of Transmittal Appendix I
III. NOISE EXPOSURE MAPS: [150.23, B150.3; 150.35(f)] (This section of the checklist is not a substitute for the Noise Exposure Map checklist. It deals with maps in the context of the Noise Compatibility Program submission.)		
A. Inclusion of NEMs and supporting documentation:		
1. Map documentation either included or incorporated by reference?	Yes	Letter of Transmittal, NEM-1 & NEM-2 Appendix D, Appendix F, Chapter 3
2. Maps previously found in compliance by FAA?	Yes	Chapter 1, Page 1-15
3. Compliance determination still valid?	Yes	None
4. Does 180-day period have to wait for map compliance finding?	Yes	None
B. Revised NEMs submitted with program: (Review using NEM checklist if map revisions included in NCP submittal)		
1. Revised NEMs included with program?	Yes	Exhibit NEM-1 & NEM-2
2. Has airport operator requested FAA to make a determination on the NEM(s) when NCP approval is made?	Yes	Letter of Transmittal
C. If program analysis uses noise modeling:		
1. INM, HNM, or FAA-approved equivalent?	Yes	Chapter 3, Page 3-1
2. Monitoring in accordance with A150.5?	N/A	N/A
D. Existing condition and 5-year maps clearly identified as the official NEMs?	Yes	Exhibits NEM-1 & NEM-2

FAR PART 150 STUDY UPDATE

NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM -- CHECKLIST -- PART II

AIRPORT NAME: Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky International Airport

REVIEWER: _____

	Yes/No/NA	Page No.\Other Reference
IV. CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES: [B150.7, 150.23(e)]		
A. At a minimum, are the alternatives below considered?		
1. land acquisition and interests therein, including air rights, easements, and development rights?	Yes	Chapter 4, Measures LU-1, LU-4, LU-5, LU-8
2. barriers, acoustical shielding, public building soundproofing	Yes	Chapter 4, Measures LU-7, LU-12, LU-14, LU-15, LU-16, LU-17
3. preferential runway system	Yes	Appendix F, Measures NA-A & NA-C
4. flight procedures	Yes	Appendix F, Measures NA-F
5. restrictions on type/class of aircraft (at least one restriction below must be checked)		
a. deny use based on Federal standards	No	N/A
b. capacity limits based on noisiness	No	N/A
c. noise abatement takeoff/approach procedures	Yes	Appendix F, Measures NA-F
d. landing fees based on noise or time of day	No	N/A
e. nighttime restrictions	Yes	Appendix F, Measures NA-A, NA-C & NA-F
6. other actions with beneficial impact	Yes	Appendix H, Measure IM-A
7. other FAA recommendations	Yes	N/A
B. Responsible implementing authority identified for each considered alternative?	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-1

FAR PART 150 STUDY UPDATE

NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM -- CHECKLIST -- PART II

AIRPORT NAME: Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky International Airport

REVIEWER: _____

	Yes/No/NA	Page No.\Other Reference
C. Analysis of alternative measures:		
1. measures clearly described?	Yes	Chapter 4; Appendix F; Appendix G; Appendix H
2. measures adequately analyzed?	Yes	Chapter 4; Appendix F; Appendix G; Appendix H
3. adequate reasoning for rejecting alternatives?	Yes	Appendix F; Appendix G; Appendix H
D. Other actions recommended by the FAA: Should other actions be added? (list separately on back of this form actions and discussions with airport operator to have them included prior to the start of the 180-day cycle)	No	N/A
V. ALTERNATIVES RECOMMENDED FOR IMPLEMENTATION: [150.23(e), B150.7(c); 150.35(b), B150.5]		
A. Document clearly indicates:		
1. alternatives recommended for implementation?	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-1, Pages 4-19 to 4-88
2. final recommendations are airport operator's not those of consultant or third party?	Yes	Letter of Transmittal
B. Do all program recommendations:		
1. relate directly or indirectly to reduction of noise and noncompatible land uses?	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-1, Pages 4-19 to 4-105
2. contain description of contribution to overall effectiveness of program?	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-1 & Table 4-2, Pages 4-19 to 4-105
3. noise/land use benefits quantified to extent possible?	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-1 & Table 4-2, Pages 4-19 to 4-105
4. include actual/anticipated effect on reducing noise exposure within noncompatible area shown on NEM?	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-2
5. effects based on relevant and reasonable expressed assumptions?	Yes	Letter of Transmittal; Chapter 4; Appendix D
6. have adequate supporting data to support its contribution to noise/land use compatibility?	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-2; Appendix E, Pages E1 to E11, Table E-2

FAR PART 150 STUDY UPDATE

NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM -- CHECKLIST -- PART II

AIRPORT NAME: Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky International Airport

REVIEWER: _____

	Yes/No/NA	Page No.\Other Reference
C. Analysis appears to support program standards set forth in 150.35(b) and B150.5?	Yes	Chapter 4; Appendix F; Appendix G; Appendix H
D. When use restrictions are recommended:		
1. Are alternatives with potentially significant noise/compatible land use benefits thoroughly analyzed so that appropriate comparisons and conclusions can be made?	N/A	N/A
2. Use restriction coordinated with APP-600 prior to making determination on start of 180-days?	N/A	N/A
E. Do the following also meet Part 150 analytical standards:		
1. formal recommendations which continue existing practices?	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-1, Pages 4-19 to 4-88
2. new recommendations or changes proposed at end of Part 150 process?	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-1, Pages 4-19 to 4-88
F. Documentation indicates how recommendations may change previously adopted plans?	Yes	Chapter 4, Pages 4-19 to 4-88
G. Documentation also:		
1. identifies agencies which are responsible for implementing each recommendation?	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-1 & Table 4-4
2. indicates whether those agencies have agreed to implement.	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-1; Appendix I
3. Indicates essential government actions necessary to implement recommendations.	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-1 & Table 4-3
H. Timeframe:		
1. includes agreed-upon schedule to implement alternatives?	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-1
2. indicates period covered by the program?	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-1

FAR PART 150 STUDY UPDATE

NOISE COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM -- CHECKLIST -- PART II

AIRPORT NAME: Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky International Airport

REVIEWER: _____

	Yes/No/NA	Page No.\Other Reference
I. Funding/Costs:		
1. includes costs to implement alternatives?	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-1 & Table 4-3
2. includes anticipated funding sources?	Yes	Chapter 4, Table 4-1 & Table 4-3
VI. PROGRAM REVISION: [150.23(e)(9)] Supporting documentation includes provision for revision?	Yes	Chapter 1, Page 1-7